

LOUISIANA STRATEGIC HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN

STATEWIDE ACTION PLAN

INFRASTRUCTURE & OPERATIONS

2025 TO 2025

Performance Action Compliance Tracking Plan Directory

SECTION TITLE					PAGES
EMPHASIS AREA ACTION P	LAN DATA PANEL				<u>2</u>
EMPHASIS AREA ACTION P	LAN OVERVIEW				<u>3</u>
ACTION STEPS	STATE A	APPROVED STRATEGY	YTD STRATEG	Y ATTAINMENT	
<u>4</u>	COORDINATION		Not Started	0.00%	<u>4</u>
<u>3</u>	EDUCATION		Not Started	0.00%	
<u>1</u>	ENFORCEMENT		Not Started	0.00%	
<u>4</u>	OPERATION		Not Started	0.00%	
<u>3</u>	OUTREACH		Not Started	0.00%	
<u>5</u> [ALL STRATEGIES AF	PPLIED - SCORE CARD	Not Started	0.00%	
PROGRAM EMPHASIS AREA	A ACTION PLAN DEF	FINITIONS			
LOUISIANA STATE REGIONA	AL SAFETY COALITIO	NS CONTACT INFORMATION			
	PLANS:	ALL	ATTAINMENT CENTER	ACTION STEP REQUIRED FORMS	
	DELIVERABLES:	<u>STATE</u>	REGIONAL	RESOURCES & SHAREABLES	
ACTION STEP CHALL	ENGES CENTER: A	ACTION STEP CHALLENGES FORM	ACTION STEP RESOLUTIONS		
ACTION PLAN TEMPLATI	E ISSUE CENTER:	FORMAT ISSUE FORM	FORMAT ISSUE RESOLUTIONS		



EMPHASIS AREA STATISTICS & TARGET CEILING CHART

CARTS PERSON DATA DASHBOARD

SI = SERIOUS INJURY

FTL = FATAL

TGT = TARGET

		ROADWAY D	<u>EPARTURES</u>		<u>INTERSECTIONS</u>				NON-MOTORIZED USERS			
	SI STATS	SI TGT	FTL STATS	FTL TGT	SI STATS	SI TGT	FTL STATS	FTL TGT	SI STATS	SI TGT	FTL STATS	FTL TGT
2013-2017 AVERAGE	403.00		347.00		576.00		153.00		184.20		126.80	
2018	347.00	398.97	283.00	343.53	466.00	570.24	155.00	151.47	209.00	182.36	151.00	125.53
2019	378.00	394.98	294.00	340.09	490.00	564.54	155.00	149.96	218.00	180.53	156.00	124.28
2020	433.00	391.03	332.00	336.69	541.00	558.89	190.00	148.46	224.00	178.73	164.00	123.03
2021	435.00	387.12	376.00	333.33	666.00	553.30	184.00	146.97	229.00	176.94	178.00	121.80
2022	734.00	383.25	363.00	329.99	998.00	547.77	202.00	145.50	263.00	175.17	196.00	120.59
2023	1081.00	379.42	301.00	326.69	1337.00	542.29	163.00	144.05	326.00	173.42	194.00	119.38
2024	964.00	375.62	266.00	323.43	1250.00	536.87	138.00	142.61	492.00	171.69	168.00	118.19
2025		371.87		320.19		531.50		141.18		169.97		117.00

ENTER DATA INTO THE STATS COLUMNS ONLY. TGT COLUMNS WILL AUTOPOPULATE. THE DATA FROM THESE TABLES LINK TO THE DATA CONTROL PANEL BELOW. THE DATA CONTROL PANEL LINKS TO ACTION PLAN CHARTS AND TABULAR DATA THROUGHOUT THE ACTION PLAN.

AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE PLAN YEAR, AND ALL CRASH DATA HAS BEEN PUBLISHED, ENTER THE PLAN YEAR RESULTS INTO THE PLAN YEAR STATS COLUMNS.

PLAN YEAR
DATA CONTROL
PANEL

ROADWAY DEPARTURES										
SI TGT	PRV YR FTL	FTL TGT								
371.87	266.00	320.19								
SULTS	FTL RE	SULTS								
	SI TGT 371.87	SI TGT PRV YR FTL 371.87 266.00								

	<u>INTERSECTIONS</u>											
PRV YR SI	SI TGT	PRV YR FTL	FTL TGT									
1250.00	531.50	138.00	141.18									
SI RES	SULTS	FTL RE	SULTS									
1												

	NON-MOTORIZED USERS											
PRV YR SI	SI TGT	PRV YR FTL	FTL TGT									
492.00	169.97	168.00	117.00									
SI RES	SULTS	FTL RE	SULTS									

CONTROL PANEL DATA IN ROW 19 WILL AUTOMATICALLY POPULATE
AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE PLAN YEAR, AND ALL CRASH DATA HAS BEEN PUBLISHED, ENTER THE PLAN YEAR RESULTS INTO THE PLAN YEAR RESULTS COLUMNS.



LOUISIANA STRATEGIC HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN


STATEWIDE ACTION PLAN


2025 TO

2025

Performance Action Compliance Tracking Plan Directory

- 1	D	OBJECTIVES				STATE STR	ATEGIES
		Reduce the potential and recurrence of roadway departure serious injuries	and fatalities		COORD	INATION	EDUCATION
	I.	Reduce the potential and recurrence of intersection serious injuries and fata	alities		ENFOR	CEMENT	OPERATION
I	I.	Reduce the potential and recurrence of serious injuries and fatalities involvi		OUTRI	EACH		
ı	D	OUTPUT MEASURES	DATA SOURCE	PRV YR	TARGET	RESULT	PLAN ATTAINMENT
	a.	1% minimum reduction of serious injuries involving roadway departures		964.00	371.87		TARGET NOT MET
1.	b.	1% minimum reduction of fatalities involving roadway departures		266.00	320.19		TARGET NOT MET
	a.	1% minimum reduction of serious injuries at intersections	Center for Analytics & Research in	1250.00	531.50		TARGET NOT MET
II.	b.	1% minimum reduction of fatalities at intersections	Transportation Safety Reports	138.00	141.18		TARGET NOT MET
III.	a.	1% minimum reduction of serious injuries involving non-motorized users		492.00	169.97		TARGET NOT MET
111.	b.	1% minimum reduction of fatalities involving non-motorized users		168.00	117.00		TARGET NOT MET
LE	DEF	RS / AGENCY					
Lau	ıra R	liggs/ LADOTD					





GOAL DATA SOURCE





<u>0.00%</u>

COORDINATION

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT O	F CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>		DESCR	<u>IPTION</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>
1.1	Laura Riggs		>			other safety-re	SCs with the inforr elated efforts incluand I/O projects.			Number of RSCs to by the State I/O Tea	receive the I/O quarte ım.	erly update provided	Not Started
<u>QTR</u>	DUE		<u>(</u>	QUARTER	LY TASK	<u>DETAIL</u>	UPLOAD DEL.	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
1	31-Mar	DESCRIPTION:	•	•			ibute the I/O 2024 2024 QTR 4 update	•					
ASSIGNED	<u>0 TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:						\checkmark	0	18	0	0.00%	NO
STATE/RS0	Cs	REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>												
EDUCATIO	NC												
2	30-Jun	DESCRIPTION:					ibute the I/O quar I/O 2025 QTR 1 up						
ASSIGNED	<u>0 TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:						✓	0	18	0	0.00%	NO
STATE/RS0	Cs	REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>												
EDUCATIO	ON												
3	30-Sep	DESCRIPTION:					ibute the I/O quar I/O 2025 QTR 2 up						
ASSIGNED	<u>0 TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:						✓	0	18	0	0.00%	NO
STATE/RS0	Cs	REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>												
EDUCATIO	ON												
4	31-Dec	DESCRIPTION:	(TARGE	T 2) Did a	II 9 RSCs	distribute the I	ibute the I/O quar I/O 2025 QTR 3 up be distributed in 2	date that was pro					
ASSIGNED	<u>0 TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:						✓	0	18	0	0.00%	NO
STATE/RS0	Cs	REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>												
EDUCATIO	ON												
						Υ	TD DELIVERABLES	: 4	0	72	0	0.00%	NO
RATING:	SELECT	COMMENTS:											





<u>0.00%</u>

COORDINATION

YYD STRATEGY ATTAINMENT

Lesica DeVille Lesi	<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT O	OF CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>		DESCRIE	<u>PTION</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>
OUR DUE QUARTERLY TASK DETAIL UPLOAD DEL REQUIRED COMPLETE 1 31-Mar ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: de's FOCUS SELECT 2 30-Jun ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: de's FOCUS STATE REPORT-OUT: de's FOCUS N/A 3 30-Sep DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: de's FOCUS N/A 3 30-Sep DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: de's FOCUS N/A 3 30-Sep DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: de's FOCUS SELECT DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: DELIVERABLE LINK: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: de's FOCUS SELECT DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? RSCS REPORT-OUT: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: DELIVERABLE LINK: 0 0 0.00% NO RSCS REPORT-OUT:	1.2		. gov	>		~	relevant stakeholders of projects through the us	on the deve se of the P	elopment of HSIP, edestrian Crash A	VRU ssessment,	I/O teams. (Goal: m			
1 31-Mar ASSIGNED TO DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK:			. <u>.gov</u>											
ASSIGNED TO AE'S FOCUS SELECT 2 30-Jun BESCRIPTION: Did the Statewide team provide all 9 RSCs guidance on HSIP-VRU implementation and stakeholder coordination? DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 1 0 0.00% NO NO STATE REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 0 0 0 0 0 0 ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS SELECT DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entitles to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other standing and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other standing and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? (TARGET 3) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? (TARGET 3) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? (TARGET 3) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? (TARGET 3) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? (TARGET 3) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? (TARGET 3) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? (TARGET 3) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the			DESCRIPTION	<u> </u>	QUARTER	LY TASK	<u>UPLO</u>	AD DEL.	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
AE'S FOCUS SELECT 2 30-Jun DESCRIPTION: Did the Statewide team provide all 9 RSCs guidance on HSIP-VRU implementation and stakeholder coordination? DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 4 31-Dec DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: (RAGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable produces ready by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4?														
SELECT 2 30-Jun DESCRIPTION: Did the Statewide team provide all 9 RSCs guidance on HSIP-VRU implementation and stakeholder coordination? ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 82'S FOCUS N/A 3 30-Sep DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 4 31-Dec DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: 0 0 0.00% NO REPORT-OUT: 4 31-Dec DELIVERABLE LINK: 0 18 0 0.00% NO REPORT-OUT: 0 18	ASSIGNE	<u> </u>								0	0	0		
2 30-Jun ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS N/A 3 30-Sep DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS N/A 3 31-Dec DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS N/A DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS SELECT DESCRIPTION: TRAGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:			REPORT-OUT:											
DESCRIPTION: Did the Statewide team provide all 9 RSCs guidance on HSIP-VRU implementation and stakeholder coordination? DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS N/A 3 30-Sep ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: DESCRIPTION: REPORT-OUT: DESCRIPTION: REPORT-OUT: DESCRIPTION: REPORT-OUT: DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:		<u>us</u>												
SASSIGNED TO STATE REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS SELECT DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SELECT													
ASSIGNED TO STATE REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS N/A 3 30-Sep ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 0 0 0.00% NO 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2	30-Jun						nce on HSIP	-VRU implementa	ation and				
STATE REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS N/A 3 30-Sep DESCRIPTION: ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS SELECT DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:	ASSIGNE	р то		Starterio	ider coor	amacion					1	0	0.00%	NO
N/A 3 30-Sep DESCRIPTION: ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: PEPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS SELECT DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO RESCS REPORT-OUT: 1 8 0 0.00% NO REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS	STATE		REPORT-OUT:								1	Ü	0.0070	NO
N/A 3 30-Sep DESCRIPTION: ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: PEPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS SELECT DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO RESCS REPORT-OUT: 1 8 0 0.00% NO REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS	4E'S FOCI	us												
3 30-Sep ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS SELECT DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO RSCs REPORT-OUT: 0 18 0 0.00% NO RSCs REPORT-OUT:	N/A													
ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS SELECT DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO RECS REPORT-OUT: 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		30-Sen	DESCRIPTION:											
AE'S FOCUS SELECT DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO RSCs REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS		· '	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
### SPOCUS SELECT DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO DELIVERABLE LINK:			REPORT-OUT:							Ü		O		
DESCRIPTION: (TARGET 1) Did all 9 RSCs coordinate at least 1 working group meeting with local entities to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO RSCs REPORT-OUT: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT: 0 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4E'S FOCI	us												
to discuss location concerns for vulnerable road user safety by the end of Q4? (TARGET 2) Did all 9 RSCs share this information with the District, other staff, and the Statewide team to assist in the development of HSIP-VRU projects by the end of Q4? ASSIGNED TO RSCs REPORT-OUT: 10 18 0 18 0 0.00% NO 4E'S FOCUS														
RSCs REPORT-OUT: 4E'S FOCUS	4	31-Dec		to discu 2) Did a	ss locatio	n concer share thi	ns for vulnerable road to information with the E	user safety District, oth	by the end of Q4 ner staff, and the S	? (TARGET				
4E'S FOCUS	<u>ASSIGNE</u>	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	18	0	0.00%	NO
	RSCs		REPORT-OUT:											
ENGINEERING	4E'S FOC	<u>us</u>												
	ENGINEE	RING												





<u>0.00%</u>

COORDINATION

YYD STRATEGY ATTAINMENT

		YTD DELIVERABLES:	0	0	19	0	0.00%	NO
RATING: SELECT	COMMENTS:							

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT C	T OF CONTACT PRL YD OD DESCRIPTION					<u>PTION</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>	
1.3	Dynah Capone Rudynah.Capone@	Pla.gov					tance to local agenc heir existing Local R			Number of LRSP rev	visited.		Not Started
QTR	DUE		g	QUARTER	LY TASK	<u>DETAIL</u>	UPLOAD DEL.	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
1 ASSIGNED	31-Mar <u>) TO</u>	DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:							0	0	0		
4E'S FOCU SELECT	<u>JS</u>												
2 ASSIGNED	30-Jun			P review e -evaluate		ocal Road Safe	ety Plans and identi	fy one Local Road	Safety Plan 0	1	0		NO
LTAP 4E'S FOCU		REPORT-OUT:							Ü	1	U		NO
ENGINEER													
3 ASSIGNED	30-Sep) TO	DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:							0	0	0		
4E'S FOCU SELECT	<u>JS</u>												
	31-Dec		Did LTAI		finalizin	g an updated l	Local Road Safety Pl						
ASSIGNED LTAP	_	DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:						✓	0	1	0	0.00%	NO
4E'S FOCU ENGINEER													





<u>0.00%</u>

COORDINATION

YYD STRATEGY ATTAINMENT

		YTD DELIVERABLES:	1	0	2	0	0.00%	NO
RATING: SELECT COM	MMENTS:							

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT C	OF CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>	DESCRIP	<u>TION</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>
1.4	Laura Riggs Laura.Riggs@la.go	<u>v</u>				Conduct a workshop with 9 Distri updates and trends, funding, prod	•		Meet with all 9 Distr	icts and RSCs annually		Not Started
<u>QTR</u>	<u>DUE</u>		<u>(</u>	QUARTER	LY TASK	DETAIL UPLOAD DEL.	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
1 ASSIGNED STATE 4E'S FOCU						d distribute a schedule for conduct and trends, funding, processes and			1	0	0.00%	МО
2 ASSIGNED 4E'S FOCU		DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:						0	0	0		
3 ASSIGNED 4E'S FOCU SELECT		DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:						0	0	0		
4 ASSIGNE STATE 4E'S FOCU ENGINEE	<u>us</u>		How ma	-	cts/RSCs	did the State I/O team leader mee	t with for their ar	nnual O	9	0	0.00%	NO



DESIRED OUTCOME: Increased Opportunities for the Participation in and the Availability and Quality of Infrastructure and Operations Training and Education



<u>0.00%</u>

EDUCATION

ID	PRIMARY POINT O	OF CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	YD	OD		DESCRI	PTION			OUTPUT MEASURE		STATUS
2.1	Autumn Goodfello		~	~	~	1	s for Driving School at meet the criteria		•		inued Education Sessi ictors and Traffic Safe inually Statewide)		Not Started
<u>QTR</u>	DUE			QUARTER	RLY TASK	<u>DETAIL</u>	UPLOAD DEL.	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
1	31-Mar	DESCRIPTION:	qualify in Central approved Training	for the Co Coalition al from Ol	ontinued s (Daytim MV and D proval of	Education Unit? (e) complete the COTD for the subthe topic is reco	Cs access to the star (TARGET 2) Did N e development of a ccessful delivery of eived from OMV an	orthwest, Northe plan, and conte CEU? (RSC can c	east, and nt and get onduct CEU				
<u>ASSIGNE</u>	<u>) TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:						~	0	12	0	0.00%	NO
STATE/RS		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU EDUCATION													
2	30-Jun		develop success	ment of a ful delive	a plan, ar ry of CEU	d content, and ? (RSC can cond	tal Region Coalitior get approval from duct CEU Training o entation content is						
ASSIGNED	<u>0 TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	3	0	0.00%	NO
RSCs 4E'S FOC L	<u>JS</u>	REPORT-OUT:											
EDUCATIO	ON												
3	30-Sep	DESCRIPTION:											
<u>ASSIGNE</u>	<u>) TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>												
SELECT		DESCRIPTION	Did Sou	thwest N	Jew Orlos	ans and Acadia	na Coalitions (Even	ing) complete the	Δ				
4	31-Dec	DESCRIPTION.	develop success	ment of a	a plan, ar ry of CEU	id content and a	get approval from (duct CEU Training o entation content is	OMV and DOTD f nce approval of t	or the he topic is				
<u>ASSIGNE</u>	<u>) TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	3	0	0.00%	NO
RSCs		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>												

DESTINA	TION
	ERO
	DEATHS

DESIRED OUTCOME: Increased Opportunities for the Participation in and the Availability and Quality of Infrastructure and Operations Training and Education

Not Started

<u>0.00%</u>

EDUCATION

YYD STRATEGY ATTAINMENT

EDUCATION		 •	٠						
			YTD DELIVERABLES:	1	0	18	0	0.00%	NO
RATING: SELECT	COMMENTS:								

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT C	OF CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>	DESCRIP	<u>TION</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE	_	<u>STATUS</u>
2.2	Autumn Goodfello Autumn Goodfello autumn.goodfellov	•	✓	✓	✓	Coordinate with OL Director to tracertified OL presenters so RSCs capresentations to all 9 regions.		tional	The number of RSCs and number of educa 9 RSCs certified and a by end of Q4)	ational presentations	delivered. (Goal all	Not Started
<u>QTR</u>	DUE		9	QUARTE	RLY TASK	DETAIL UPLOAD DEL.	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
1	31-Mar	DESCRIPTION:	Did all 9	RSCs att	end OL tr	aining to become certified OL prese	enters?					
ASSIGNE	<u>о то</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:						0	9	0	0.00%	NO
RSCs		REPORT-OUT:						•		O	0.0070	110
4E'S FOCI	<u>us</u>											
N/A												
2	30-Jun	DESCRIPTION:										
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:						0	0	0		
		REPORT-OUT:										
4E'S FOCI	<u>JS</u>											
SELECT		DESCRIPTION										
3	30-Sep	DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK:						•				
ASSIGNE		REPORT-OUT:						0	0	0		
4E'S FOCI	us	KEI OKI-OOI.										
SELECT												
4	31-Dec		Did all 9 Q4?	RSCs del	iver OL p	resentations to at least two regiona	I/local events by	the end of				
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:						0	18	0	0.00%	NO
RSCs		REPORT-OUT:					_			J	3.3370	

DESTINATION ZERO	DESIRED OUTCOME:	Increased Opportunities for the Partic Infrastructure and Operations Training		y and Qualit	ty of	Not Started	<u>0.00%</u>	EDUCA	ATION
DEATHS						YYD STRATEGY	/ ATTAINMENT		
4E'S FOCUS									
EDUCATION									
			YTD DELIVERABLES:	0	0	27	0	0.00%	NO
RATING: SELECT	COMMENTS:								

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT C	OF CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>		DESCRIP	PTION			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>
2.3	Jessica DeVille Jessica.DeVille@la	.gov					Safety Partners on th i Louisiana Crash To				per year to Districts, R the use of DOTD's High tool, other tools, etc.)		Not Started
<u>QTR</u>	DUE		9	QUARTER	RLY TASK	<u>DETAIL</u>	UPLOAD DEL.	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
1	31-Mar	DESCRIPTION:	Did the	State dev	elop and	deliver a sched	dule of trainings for	the year?					
ASSIGNED	<u>) TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:						✓	0	1	0	0.00%	NO
STATE		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>ıs</u>												
N/A													
2	30-Jun	DESCRIPTION:											
ASSIGNED	<u>) TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>												
SELECT													
3	30-Sep	DESCRIPTION:											
ASSIGNED	<u>) TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>												
SELECT													
4	31-Dec			State con ool, other		-	use of DOTD's Highw	vay Safety Tools (i.e.Louisiana				
ASSIGNED	<u>0 TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	2	0	0.00%	NO
STATE		REPORT-OUT:											

DESTINATION	DESIRED OUTCOME:	Increased Opportunities for the Partic Infrastructure and Operations Training	· •	y and Qua	lity of	Not Started	<u>0.00%</u>	EDUCA	ATION
DEATHS						YYD STRATEGY	ATTAINMENT		
4E'S FOCUS		·							
ENGINEERING									
			YTD DELIVERABLES:	1	0	3	0	0.00%	NO
RATING: SELECT	COMMENTS:								

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT O	F CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>		DESCRIPT	<u>ION</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE	_	<u>STATUS</u>
2.4	Dynah Capone Rudynah.Capone@	LA.GOV				Conduct work initiatives.	kshops for local agenc	ies on statewide	e safety	Number of workshop	os conducted (a minim	num of 5 annually)	
OTD	SUE .			OLIA DEFE	NV TACK	NETA II	UPLOAD DEL.	DECLURED	COMPLETE	TARGET	DECLUZ	0/ 8457	Not Started
<u>QTR</u>	DUE	DESCRIPTION:	2	QUARTER	RLY TASK I	<u>JE IAIL</u>	UPLOAD DEL.	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	COMPLETE
1	31-Mar												
ASSIGNED	<u> </u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>												
SELECT													
2	30-Jun	DESCRIPTION:	Did LTAF	provide	a schedul	e and topic of	workshop by the end	of Quarter 2?					
ASSIGNED	<u>0 TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:						✓	0	1	0	0.00%	NO
LTAP		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>												
ENGINEER	RING												
3	30-Sep	DESCRIPTION:											
ASSIGNE	<u>0 TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>												
SELECT													
4	31-Dec	DESCRIPTION:	Did LTAF	conduct	a minimu	ım of 5 worksh	nops on road safety b	y the end of Qua	arter 4?				
ASSIGNE	<u>0 TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	5	0	0.00%	NO
LTAP		REPORT-OUT:						_	J		Ü	0.0070	
4E'S FOCU	JS												
	_												



DESIRED OUTCOME: Increased Infrastruture and Operations Enforcements



<u>0.00%</u>

ENFORCEMENT

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT (OF CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>		DES	SCRIPTIO	<u>ON</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>
3.1	Ron Whittaker	om	Y			Provide TIMS	S/WZ Safety Trai	ining to	support statew	ide LEAs.	Number of TIMS/WZ Annually)	Safety Training per y	ear. (Minimum 2	Not Started
<u>QTR</u>	DUE			UARTER			UPLOAD DE		REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
1	31-Mar		Did all 9 invites, I			o DOTD LEE to	offer assistance	e with tr	aining location	s, meeting				
ASSIGNEI	р то	DELIVERABLE LINK:	ilivites, i	ogistics, i	ett.					0	9	0	0.00%	NO
RSCs		REPORT-OUT:								Ü	9	U	0.00%	140
4E'S FOCI	<u>us</u>													
N/A														
2	30-Jun		•	s, meetin	g invites		DOTD LEE to offe ? (TARGET 2) W			U				
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:								0	18	0	0.00%	NO
STATE/RS	Cs	REPORT-OUT:												
4E'S FOCI	<u>US</u>													
EDUCATIO	NC													
3	30-Sep		Did all 9 invites, I			o DOTD LEE to	o offer assistance	e with tr	aining location	s, meeting				
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:								0	9	0	0.00%	NO
RSCs		REPORT-OUT:												
4E'S FOCI	<u>us</u>													
N/A														
4	31-Dec		•	s, meetin	g invites		DOTD LEE to offer? (TARGET 2) W			0				
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:								0	18	0	0.00%	NO
STATE/RS	Cs	REPORT-OUT:												
4E'S FOCI	<u>US</u>													
EDUCATIO	ON													
						,	YTD DELIVERAB	LES:	0	0	54	0	0.00%	NO
RATING:	SELECT	COMMENTS:												



Not Started

<u>0.00%</u>

OPERATION

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT O	OF CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>		DESCRIPT	<u> TION</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>
4.1	Dynah Capone Rudynah.Capone@	ହାa.gov				application L	stance to local agencie etter of Intent and pro afety Program (LRSP).	oject application		Program from the Pe	cts approved into the d Crash Assessment, l estment Plan, Local R um 4/by end of Q4)	Roadway Departure	Not Started
<u>QTR</u>	<u>DUE</u>		<u>C</u>	QUARTER	LY TASK	<u>DETAIL</u>	UPLOAD DEL.	<u>REQUIRED</u>	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
L	31-Mar	DESCRIPTION:											
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
		REPORT-OUT:											
E'S FOC	<u>us</u>												
SELECT													
)	30-Jun				•		sponses to all local ag	encies who subi	nitted pre-				
- Assigne		DELIVERABLE LINK:	applicat	ion Lette	rs of Inte	ent?			•				
	<u> </u>							✓	0	4	0	0.00%	NO
STATE		REPORT-OUT:											
IE'S FOC													
NGINEE													
3	30-Sep	DESCRIPTION:											
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
		REPORT-OUT:											
IE'S FOC	<u>US</u>												
SELECT													
1	31-Dec			•			ct applications submit	ted for approva	l by the LRSP	_	•	0.000/	
ASSIGNE	D TO	DELIVERABLE LINK:	Project	Selection	Commit	tee by the end	d of Quarter 4?	~	0	4	<u>0</u>	0.00%	NO
STATE/RS		REPORT-OUT:						V	U				
IE'S FOC		NEFORI-001.											
NGINEE													
LINGIINEE	MING						VTD DELIVERABLES	2	0	8	0	0.00%	NO
RATING	SELECT	COMMENTS:					YTD DELIVERABLES:		U	δ		0.00%	NU
., ., ., .,	JEEC 1	CONTINUE IN 13.											





<u>0.00%</u>

OPERATION

YYD STRATEGY ATTAINMENT

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT C	OF CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>	DESC	<u>CRIPTION</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>
4.2	Laura Riggs Laura.Riggs@la.go	<u>v</u>				Program the number of RWD for roadway departure trends			The number of RWD 3 per quarter.)	projects approved in	the HSIP. (Minimum	Not Started
<u>QTR</u>	DUE		g	QUARTER	LY TASK	DETAIL UPLOAD DEL	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
1	31-Mar	DESCRIPTION:	The nur	nber of R	WD proj	ects approved in the HSIP this	quarter? (Minimum o	of 3)				
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:					\checkmark	0	3	0	0.00%	NO
STATE		REPORT-OUT:										
4E'S FOC	<u>US</u>											
ENGINEE	RING											
2	30-Jun	DESCRIPTION:	The nur	nber of R	WD proj	ects approved in the HSIP this	quarter? (Minimum o	of 3)				
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:					\checkmark	0	3	0	0.00%	NO
STATE		REPORT-OUT:										
4E'S FOC	<u>us</u>											
ENGINEE	RING											
3	30-Sep	DESCRIPTION:	The nur	nber of R	WD proj	ects approved in the HSIP this	quarter? (Minimum o	of 3)				
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:					\checkmark	0	3	0	0.00%	NO
STATE		REPORT-OUT:										
4E'S FOC	<u>US</u>											
ENGINEE	RING											
4	31-Dec	DESCRIPTION:	The nur	nber of R	WD proj	ects approved in the HSIP this	quarter? (Minimum o	of 3)				
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:					\checkmark	0	3	0	0.00%	NO
STATE		REPORT-OUT:										
4E'S FOC	<u>us</u>											
ENGINEE	RING											
						YTD DELIVERABL	.ES: 4	0	12	0	0.00%	NO
RATING:	SELECT	COMMENTS:										





0.00%

OPERATION

	400												
<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT O	OF CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>		<u>DESCRI</u>	<u>PTION</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>
4.3	Laura Riggs Laura.Riggs@la.go	<u>v</u>					number of INT proje on trends (State and		ith the SHSP	Number of INT proje annually.)	cts approved in the H	ISIP. (Minimum 5	Not Started
<u>QTR</u>	DUE		<u>(</u>	QUARTER	LY TASK	<u>DETAIL</u>	UPLOAD DEL.	<u>REQUIRED</u>	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
1	31-Mar	DESCRIPTION:	provide		out, the		the HSIP this quart of projects approved						
<u>ASSIGNEI</u>	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
STATE		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCI	<u>us</u>												
ENGINEE	RING												
2	30-Jun	DESCRIPTION:	provide	a report-	out, the		n the HSIP this quart of projects approved						
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:	provide a report-out, the final number of projects approved should be entered in the Q4 Result Dropdown. 0 0 0										
STATE		REPORT-OUT:								· ·			
4E'S FOCI	<u>us</u>												
ENGINEE	RING												
3	30-Sep	DESCRIPTION:	provide		out, the		n the HSIP this quart of projects approved						
<u>ASSIGNEI</u>	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
STATE		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOC	<u>US</u>												
ENGINEE	RING												
4	31-Dec	DESCRIPTION:	The nur	nber of II	NT projec	ts approved ir	the HSIP this quart	ter/year?					
<u>ASSIGNEI</u>	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:						✓	0	5	0	0.00%	NO
STATE		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCI	<u>US</u>												
ENGINEE	RING												
						Y	TD DELIVERABLES:	1	0	5	0	0.00%	NO
RATING:	SELECT	COMMENTS:											



Not Started

<u>0.00%</u>

OPERATION

YYD STRATEGY ATTAINMENT

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT OF CO	<u>DNTACT</u>	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>	<u>D</u>	DESCRIPTI	<u>ON</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>
4.4	Laura Riggs Laura.Riggs@la.gov					Program the number of no HSIP with the SHSP for nor				Number of Non-Mo (Minimum 5 annual	torized projects appro ly.)	ved in the HSIP.	
QTR	DUE		0	UARTER	IV TASK	DETAIL UPLOAD [DEL	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	TARGET	RESULT	% MET	Not Started <u>COMPLETE</u>
1	29-Mar		The num	nber of no	on-moto provide	rized user projects approve a report-out, the final num Dropdown.	ed in this I	HSIP this quarte	r (if	IARGET	<u>RESULI</u>	<u> </u>	COMPLETE
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u> DE	LIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
STATE		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOC	<u>JS</u>												
ENGINEE	RING												
2	30-Jun		applicab	le)? Only	provide	rized user projects approve a report-out, the final num Dropdown.		•	•				
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u> DE	LIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
STATE		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOC	<u>JS</u>												
ENGINEE	RING												
3	30-Sep		applicab	le)? Only	provide	rized user projects approve a report-out, the final num Dropdown.		•	•				
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u> DE	LIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
STATE		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCI ENGINEE													
4	31-Dec	DESCRIPTION:	The num	nber of n	on-moto	rized user projects approve	ed in this I	HSIP this quarte	r/year?				
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u> DE	LIVERABLE LINK:							0	5	0	0.00%	NO
STATE		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOC	<u>JS</u>												





<u>0.00%</u>

OUTREACH

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT O	OF CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>		<u>DESCRIP</u>	<u> TION</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>
5.1	Jeannine Childers jchilders1@lsu.edu	u	· 🗸	<		events, and o	onal webinars, availa ther traffic safety-rel ing the Destination Z ms on the effects an ns (I/O).	ated data to pro ero Deaths (DZD	mote) social	materials, education	ess among stakeholde al webinars, available o increase engagemen	trainings, and	Not Started
<u>QTR</u>	DUE		9	QUARTER	LY TASK	DETAIL	UPLOAD DEL.	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
ASSIGNE STATE	<u>us</u>	DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:				tatewide and/o outreach platfo	or regional initiatives rms?	/activities on DZ	D social O	1	0	0.00%	NO
DUCATION	-	DESCRIPTION:	Did the	state doc	ument s	tatewide and/o	or regional initiatives	/activities on DZ	D social				
ASSIGNE STATE BE'S FOC EDUCATIO	<u>us</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:				outreach platfo	•		0	1	0	0.00%	NO
ASSIGNE STATE IE'S FOC		DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:				tatewide and/o outreach platfo	or regional initiatives rms?	/activities on DZ	D social O	1	0	0.00%	NO
DUCATION													
ASSIGNE STATE HE'S FOC	31-Dec <u>D TO</u> <u>US</u>	DESCRIPTION: DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:				outreach platfo		/activities on DZ	D social O	1	0	0.00%	NO
						Υ	TD DELIVERABLES:	0	0	4	0	0.00%	NO
RATING:	SELECT	COMMENTS:											





<u>0.00%</u>

OUTREACH

ID	PRIMARY POINT (OF CONTACT	PRL	YD	OD		DESCRIF	TION			OUTPUT MEASURE		STATUS
5.2	Autumn Goodfello		- V	- ✓	✓		kisting partnerships		stance to	Strengthen existing I 1 event by Q4.	I/O partnerships by a		Not Started
QTR	DUE			QUARTER	IV TASK	DETAIL	UPLOAD DEL.	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	TARGET	RESULT	% MET	COMPLETE
1	31-Mar	DESCRIPTION:	(TARGE if any of assistan	T 1) Did a bstacles v	II 9 RSCs vere enc .GET 2) D	identify an exi ountered did t Did all 9 RSCs be	sting I/O partnershiphe RSCs reach out to egin discussions with	to provide assis	tance to and for	<u>izinger</u>	<u>NSO 1.</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:		p.or.ac a	551514116				0	18	0	0.00%	NO
RSCs		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOC N/A	<u>US</u>												
2	30-Jun	DESCRIPTION:	Did all 9	RSCs up	date and	upload distrib	ution lists to DZD Go	oogle Drive?					
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	9	0	0.00%	NO
RSCs		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOC	<u>us</u>												
N/A													
3	30-Sep	DESCRIPTION:											
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK: REPORT-OUT:							0	0	0		
4E'S FOC	<u>us</u>												
SELECT													
4	31-Dec	DESCRIPTION:		of Q4? (at least 1 event with Cs update and uploa						
ASSIGNE	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	18	0	0.00%	NO
RSCs		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOC	<u>us</u>												
N/A													
						Υ	TD DELIVERABLES:	0	0	45	0	0.00%	NO
RATING:	SELECT	COMMENTS:											





<u>0.00%</u>

OUTREACH

YYD STRATEGY ATTAINMENT

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT C	OF CONTACT	PRL	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>		DESCRIP	<u>TION</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>
5.3	Jeannine Childers jchilders1@lsu.edu	1	✓	~	✓	PIOs from otl	and conduct quarterly her related agencies oint messaging projec	to define, plan, a	nd	The number of meet (Goal 1 during Q1).	tings conducted with	other agency PIOs.	Not Started
QTR	<u>DUE</u>		g	QUARTER	LY TASK	<u>DETAIL</u>	UPLOAD DEL.	REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	RESULT	% MET	<u>COMPLETE</u>
1	31-Mar		DOTD, L Traffic S	SP, LHSC,	and oth messag	er relevant ag ing project? (T	rdinator collaborate v encies to define, plar ARGET 2) Did the SH	, and implement	a Louisiana				
ASSIGNED	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:						✓	0	2	0	0.00%	NO
STATE		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU													
EDUCATIO													
2	30-Jun	DESCRIPTION:											
ASSIGNED	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
4515 5051		REPORT-OUT:											
4E'S FOCU	<u>us</u>												
3	30-Sep	DESCRIPTION:											
ASSIGNED	•	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
		REPORT-OUT:							O	0	0		
4E'S FOCU	<u>us</u>												
SELECT													
4	31-Dec	DESCRIPTION:											
ASSIGNED	<u>D TO</u>	DELIVERABLE LINK:							0	0	0		
		REPORT-OUT:						_					
4E'S FOCU	<u>us</u>												
SELECT													
						١	TD DELIVERABLES:	1	0	2	0	0.00%	NO
RATING:	SELECT	COMMENTS:											





0.00%

OUTREACH

YYD STRATEGY ATTAINMENT

<u>ID</u>	PRIMARY POINT OF CONTACT	<u>PRL</u>	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>	DESCRIF	<u>TION</u>			OUTPUT MEASURE		<u>STATUS</u>
5.4	Autumn Goodfellow-Thompson autumn.goodfellow-thompson@la.gov	~	>	>	Develolp standardized DZD IO RSG conferences, youth organizations, delivery to share our initiative of injuries and to address behavior challenges.	, agency meeting reducing fatalitie	s, etc. for s and serious	Number of DZD Pres Q4.)	sentations conducted	. (Goal 2 by end of	Not Started
QTR	<u>DUE</u>	<u> </u>	QUARTER	LY TASK		REQUIRED	COMPLETE	<u>TARGET</u>	<u>RESULT</u>	<u>% MET</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>
1	31-Mar DESCRIPTION:	Did the	State and	l all 9 RS	Cs to develop DZD IO Presentation	?					
ASSIGNED	OTO DELIVERABLE LINK:	DD_5.4	STATE			✓	1	1	0	0.00%	NO
STATE/RS0	Cs REPORT-OUT:										
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>										
N/A											
2	30-Jun DESCRIPTION:				linator coordinate with all 9 RSCs to DZD Presentation?	o research possib	le				
ASSIGNED	DTO DELIVERABLE LINK:						0	1	0	0.00%	NO
STATE	REPORT-OUT:										
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>										
N/A											
3	30-Sep DESCRIPTION:										
ASSIGNED	DTO DELIVERABLE LINK:						0	0	0		
	REPORT-OUT:										
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>										
SELECT											
4	31-Dec DESCRIPTION:	Did the	state and	d all 9 RS	Cs conduct at least 2 DZD Presenta	tions by the end	of Q4?				
ASSIGNED	DTO DELIVERABLE LINK:						0	2	0	0.00%	NO
STATE/RSC	Cs REPORT-OUT:					_					
4E'S FOCU	<u>JS</u>										
EDUCATIO	DN										
					YTD DELIVERABLES:	1	1	4	0		
RATING:	COMMENTS:										



INFRASTRUCTURE & OPERATIONS STATEWIDE ACTION PLAN

	STATUS / ATTAINMENT KEY											
0%	0% <25% <50% >50% 100%											

	YTD ATTA	INMENT					STRATEGY ACTION STEP DETAILS						
	STRATEGY	STEP ATTN	<u>ID</u>	PRL	<u>YD</u>	<u>OD</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	EOY RATING					
NO		0.00%	1.1	YES	NO	NO	Provide the RSCs with the information to support the HSIP and other safety-related efforts including current safety trends, data, targets, and I/O projects.	SELECT					
COORDINATION	Not Started	0.00%	1.2	YES	NO	YES	Provide RSCs with knowledge and resources to coordinate with relevant stakeholders on the development of HSIP/VRU projects through the use of the Pedestrian Crash Assessment, Vulnerable Road Users Assessment, and other tools.	SELECT					
ORD		0.00%	1.3	NO	NO	NO	Provide assistance to local agencies in updating pertinent elements of their existing Local Road Safety Plan (LRSP).	SELECT					
ខ		0.00%	1.4	NO	NO	NO	Conduct a workshop with 9 Districts and RSCs to provide data updates and trends, funding, processes and safety planning.	SELECT					
		0.00%	2.1	YES	YES	YES	Offer Sessions for Driving School Instructors and Traffic Safety Advocates that meet the criteria for Continued Education Units (CEU).	SELECT					
EDUCATION	Not Started	0.00%	2.2	YES	YES	YES	Coordinate with OL Director to train all 9 RSCs to become certified OL presenters so RSCs can provide educational presentations to all 9 regions.	SELECT					
DI C	Not Started	0.00%	2.3	NO	NO	NO	Educate our Safety Partners on the use of the DOTD's Highway Safety Tools (i Louisiana Crash Tool, other tools, etc.)	SELECT					
" ا		0.00%	2.4	NO	NO	NO	Conduct workshops for local agencies on statewide safety initiatives.	N/A					
þ		0.00%	3.1	YES	NO	NO	Provide TIMS/WZ Safety Training to support statewide LEAs.	SELECT					
ENFORCEMENT			N/A	NO	NO	NO							
ORC	Not Started		N/A	NO	NO	NO		N/A					
EN			N/A	NO	NO	NO		N/A					
z		0.00%	4.1	NO	NO	NO	Provide assistance to local agencies in developing pre-application Letter of Intent and project applications for the Local Road Safety Program (LRSP).	SELECT					
ATIO	Not Started	0.00%	4.2	NO	NO	NO	Program the number of RWD projects in the HSIP with the SHSP for roadway departure trends (State and Local)	SELECT					
OPERATION	. roc otal tea	0.00%	4.3	NO	NO	NO	Program the number of INT projects in the HSIP with the SHSP for intersection trends (State and Local).	SELECT					
Ĭ		0.00%	4.4	NO	NO	NO	Program the number of non-motorized user projects in the HSIP with the SHSP for non-motorized trends (State and Local).	SELECT					
		0.00%	5.1	YES	YES	YES	Share educational webinars, available trainings, community events, and other traffic safety-related data to promote awareness using the Destination Zero Deaths (DZD) social media platforms on the effects and statistics of Infrastructure and Operations (I/O).	SELECT					
된		0.00%	5.2	YES	YES	YES	Strengthen existing partnerships by providing assistance to participating I/O safety partners.	SELECT					
OUTREACH	Not Started	0.00%	5.3	YES	YES	YES	Collaborate and conduct quarterly meetings with 9 RSCs and PIOs from other related agencies to define, plan, and implement joint messaging projects.	SELECT					
		0.00%	5.4	YES	YES	YES	Develolp standardized DZD IO RSC Presentation and seek out conferences, youth organizations, agency meetings, etc. for delivery to share our initiative of reducing fatalities and serious injuries and to address behavioral and infrastructure challenges.	N/A					
	YTD PRO	OGRESS		ID		EMPH	ISIS AREA ACTION PLAN PERFORMANCE MEASURE PREV. YR TGT RESULT ACTION PL	AN RATING					
			1.	a.	1% mini	mum red	uction of serious injuries involving roadway departures 964.00 371.87						
AL				b.	1% mini	mum red	uction of fatalities involving roadway departures 266.00 320.19						
ЛБ ТОТАL	Net Charted	0.000/		a.	1% mini	mum red	uction of serious injuries at intersections 1250.00 531.50						
Ĕ	Not Started	0.00%	II.	b.	1% mini	mum red	reduction of fatalities at intersections 138.00 141.18						

		a.	1% minimum reduction of serious injuries involving non-motorized users	492.00	169.97	
	III.	b.	1% minimum reduction of fatalities involving non-motorized users	168.00	117.00	